Ammonia oxidation and nitrite formation

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Ammonia oxidation is a distinct step in the biological conversion of nitrogen in aquaponic systems. A review of aquaponic system parameters identifies ammonia-oxidising bacteria as converting total ammonium nitrogen to nitrite, followed by nitrite-oxidising bacteria converting nitrite to nitrate. A second review describes biological filtration more broadly as converting ammonia to nitrate and notes that ammonium oxidation generates acidity. Together, these accounts support a causal explanation in which nitrite is an intermediate product, rather than an alternative name for the final product of filtration. This paper examines what each account establishes, how their descriptions fit together, and why the distinction between the two oxidation steps matters when interpreting nitrogen conversion. The available evidence supports the identity and order of the steps and associates acidity generation with ammonium oxidation. It does not, in the accepted claims considered here, establish reaction rates, the extent of nitrite accumulation, or the magnitude of acidity generation under particular operating conditions. The resulting explanation is therefore mechanistic but deliberately limited: it clarifies what nitrite formation means without treating a general account of filtration as evidence for a measured response in a specific system.**

I. Introduction

Ammonia oxidation and nitrite formation describe a particular transition within biological nitrogen conversion, not the entire conversion from ammonium nitrogen to nitrate. Krastanova et al. identify ammonia-oxidising bacteria as converting total ammonium nitrogen to nitrite and nitrite-oxidising bacteria as converting the resulting nitrite to nitrate [1]. The distinction gives nitrite a precise causal place: it is produced by the first bacterial group and is the material acted upon in the following step. Without that distinction, an account of nitrogen conversion can name its starting and ending forms while leaving the process between them unexplained. This paper therefore treats nitrite formation as the central event to be understood, rather than as a detail that can be absorbed into an undifferentiated description of filtration.

A broader description of biological filtration can obscure that intermediate step even when it is compatible with it. Lobanov et al. describe filtration as converting ammonia to nitrate and note that ammonium oxidation generates acidity [2]. Their account connects the overall conversion with another consequence of oxidation, but the accepted evidence from that review does not independently establish how nitrite is formed. The narrower account supplied by Krastanova et al. is consequently needed to explain the intermediate, while the broader account helps situate it within the overall process [1]. Keeping those contributions separate avoids treating a description of endpoints as though it were direct evidence for every step between them.

The central argument is that a sound explanation of ammonia oxidation must state both what the oxidation produces and what happens to that product next. The supported sequence places nitrite between total ammonium nitrogen and nitrate, with different bacterial groups associated with the two conversions [1]. It also permits the more limited observation that ammonium oxidation generates acidity, without assigning a measured magnitude to that consequence [2]. The discussion first defines the explanatory scope, then examines the conversion steps, compares the two accounts, and considers what can responsibly be inferred from them. Its purpose is to clarify the causal meaning of nitrite formation, not to report a new measurement of nitrogen conversion.

II. Background and Scope

The two accepted reviews describe nitrogen conversion at different levels of detail. One explicitly separates the conversion of total ammonium nitrogen to nitrite from the subsequent conversion of nitrite to nitrate [1]. The other characterises biological filtration by the broader movement from ammonia to nitrate and additionally identifies acidity generation during ammonium oxidation [2]. These are not competing descriptions of the same degree of detail: the first identifies an intermediate and the bacterial groups associated with each step, whereas the second provides an overall account and a consequence of oxidation. Reading them together is useful precisely because their levels of description differ. The overall account establishes context, but it cannot replace explicit support for nitrite formation.

The scope of this paper is the causal relationship among ammonia oxidation, nitrite formation, and the following conversion to nitrate. In the more detailed account, nitrite has two roles: it is the product attributed to ammonia-oxidising bacteria and the input attributed to nitrite-oxidising bacteria [1]. The broader account is consistent with a conversion ending in nitrate, but its accepted claim does not itself describe that intermediate [2]. Accordingly, this paper does not infer a rate, duration, or extent of nitrite presence from either review. Those would be different kinds of claims from identifying the steps in a pathway. This boundary keeps a supported causal explanation distinct from an unreported observation of how a particular system behaves.

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

The first conversion is the defining mechanism for nitrite formation in the accepted evidence. Krastanova et al. attribute the conversion of total ammonium nitrogen to nitrite to ammonia-oxidising bacteria [1]. This attribution specifies both the starting material as described by the review and the product that distinguishes the first step from the complete conversion to nitrate. It does not merely say that nitrogen changes form: it identifies where nitrite enters the sequence. The implication is interpretive rather than quantitative. When discussing ammonia oxidation, nitrite should be recognised as the product of that step, but the statement alone does not establish how much nitrite will be present at any particular time.

Nitrite formation is followed by a separate conversion. The same review attributes the conversion of nitrite to nitrate to nitrite-oxidising bacteria [1]. This second attribution explains why an overall account can identify nitrate as the eventual product while still requiring nitrite in its causal explanation. Formation and subsequent conversion refer to different events, so describing the latter does not erase the former. Conversely, establishing that nitrite is formed does not by itself show whether its production and subsequent conversion proceed at matching rates. The accepted evidence identifies the direction and participants of the sequence, but it supplies no basis here for a claim about the balance between the steps in an operating system.

Acidity generation is a further consequence associated with ammonium oxidation, rather than an alternative description of nitrite itself. Lobanov et al. note that ammonium oxidation generates acidity while presenting biological filtration as an overall conversion from ammonia to nitrate [2]. Krastanova et al. supply the explicit intermediate step needed to locate nitrite formation within that wider conversion [1]. Taken together, the claims support discussing acidity alongside the first oxidation step, but they do not establish a numerical relationship between acidity generation and nitrite formation. The distinction matters because identifying linked consequences of a process is not equivalent to measuring either consequence or specifying how their magnitudes vary.

IV. Evidence Synthesis

The strongest direct support for the paper's central causal claim is the review that names both bacterial conversions. Its account identifies the production of nitrite from total ammonium nitrogen and the subsequent conversion of nitrite to nitrate [1]. Their contributions are complementary but not interchangeable. In particular, citing only the broader account for the intermediate would overstate what its accepted claim establishes. A defensible synthesis uses the explicit sequence to explain nitrite formation and the broader account to describe the overall conversion and its associated acidity generation.

The difference between a pathway statement and a system-specific observation sets an important limit on interpretation. The accepted account of two bacterial conversions explains why nitrite appears in the causal sequence between total ammonium nitrogen and nitrate [1]. Neither that statement nor the broader filtration description establishes a measured nitrite concentration, a conversion rate, or a comparison among operating conditions [2]. Thus, the evidence answers the question of what nitrite formation means without answering every question about its behaviour in practice. The appropriate conclusion is not that nitrite must accumulate, nor that it cannot accumulate, but that the supplied claims do not determine either outcome.

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

A practical implication of separating the two conversions is greater precision when explaining what biological filtration accomplishes. Saying that filtration converts ammonia to nitrate conveys an overall direction, as in Lobanov et al. [2]. Saying that ammonia-oxidising bacteria form nitrite, which nitrite-oxidising bacteria then convert to nitrate, identifies the intermediate and preserves the order of events [1]. Both descriptions have a place, but they answer different questions. The detailed version is necessary when the question concerns ammonia oxidation and nitrite formation specifically. Neither version, on the accepted claims alone, should be turned into an assurance about a particular system's observed nitrite level or completeness of conversion.

The principal limitation is the gap between an established causal sequence and an evaluated response under defined conditions. The accepted evidence identifies the bacterial groups and successive nitrogen forms [1], and it associates acidity generation with ammonium oxidation [2]. It does not provide, within the claims available for this paper, measurements that relate nitrite formation to its subsequent conversion or quantify the acidity produced. A system-specific assessment would require direct evidence for the particular response being asserted; the reviews' pathway descriptions cannot supply that result by themselves. This is a limit on inference, not a reason to disregard the supported mechanism. It preserves the distinction between explaining a process and verifying its extent.

VI. Conclusion

Ammonia oxidation gives nitrite its place in the supported sequence of biological nitrogen conversion. Ammonia-oxidising bacteria convert total ammonium nitrogen to nitrite, after which nitrite-oxidising bacteria convert nitrite to nitrate [1]. A broader account of filtration as conversion from ammonia to nitrate is compatible with that sequence, but does not independently establish its intermediate step; it also identifies acidity generation during ammonium oxidation [2]. The combined explanation is consequently more precise than either a statement of endpoints alone or an account that stops once nitrite has formed. It explains why nitrite is an intermediate while keeping each supporting claim tied to what its source actually establishes.

The highest-value next step for any more specific claim would be evidence directed at the response in question. The accepted reviews support the order of the conversions and the association of acidity with ammonium oxidation, but the

claims used here do not quantify nitrite presence, the relative progress of the two steps, or acidity generation under particular conditions [1], [2]. Until such observations are supplied, the scientifically warranted conclusion remains a causal one: ammonia oxidation forms nitrite, and a subsequent bacterial conversion forms nitrate. That distinction answers what nitrite formation means without presenting an unmeasured system outcome as established fact.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Krastanova, I. Sirakov, S. Ivanova-Kirilova, D. Yarkov, and P. Orozova, "Aquaponic systems: biological and technological parameters," *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*, 2022.

[2] V. Lobanov, H. Monsees, F. Latrille, G. Pate, and A. Joyce, "Coupled vs. decoupled aquaponics: Evidence, mechanisms, and methodological gaps in the productivity hypothesis," *Aquacultural Engineering*, 2026.