Nitrite oxidation and nitrate formation

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Nitrite oxidation explains how nitrite formed during nitrification becomes nitrate in aquaponic water. The distinction matters because an account of ammonia conversion alone does not identify which biological step forms nitrate. This paper synthesises an aquaponics review that attributes the conversion of ammonium nitrogen to nitrite to ammonia-oxidising bacteria and the subsequent conversion of nitrite to nitrate to nitrite-oxidising bacteria. It relates that causal account to a broader description of biological filtration and to observations from an aquaponics trial. The trial recorded low nitrite after its first month and measured nitrate, while interpreting low dissolved oxygen and near-zero nitrate as signs of less favourable nitrification conditions. These observations provide system context, but do not independently establish the activity responsible for nitrite oxidation. The evidence therefore supports a clear explanation of nitrate formation through a distinct biological conversion, while placing limits on what water-quality measurements alone can demonstrate. Interpreting nitrite and nitrate together requires attention to the difference between an observed concentration and the process proposed to produce it.**

I. Introduction

Nitrite oxidation is the step that connects nitrite production to nitrate formation in the account of nitrification used for aquaponic systems. Ammonia-oxidising bacteria convert total ammonium nitrogen to nitrite, and nitrite-oxidising bacteria convert that nitrite to nitrate [1]. These are distinct conversions: explaining where nitrite comes from does not, by itself, explain where nitrate comes from. The distinction gives nitrate formation a specific causal meaning rather than treating it as an unspecified outcome of water treatment. This paper examines that second conversion and considers what the available aquaponics evidence can, and cannot, establish about its occurrence in a system.

Aquaponic water-quality observations make the distinction consequential. In one trial, measured nitrite became low after the first month, and nitrate was also measured. The investigators interpreted low dissolved oxygen and near-zero nitrate as signs of less favourable conditions for nitrification [2]. Such observations invite an explanation of how nitrite and nitrate are related, but a low nitrite reading does not itself identify the process responsible for that reading. Nor does measuring nitrate demonstrate which conversion produced it. Interpreting these measurements requires both a causal account of nitrite oxidation and caution about the conclusions that concentrations alone permit.

Biological filtration is also described in aquaponics literature as converting ammonia to nitrate [3]. That description identifies the overall transformation, whereas the distinction between ammonia-oxidising and nitrite-oxidising bacteria identifies the intervening conversion that forms nitrate [1]. The paper first sets the boundaries of these two descriptions, then explains the nitrite-to-nitrate step and assesses how the trial observations relate to it. Its central argument is that nitrite oxidation provides the causal explanation for nitrate formation, while the reported concentration patterns supply context rather than direct proof of that particular microbial activity.

II. Background and Scope

The three accepted sources address nitrate formation at different levels of detail. One review distinguishes the bacterial conversions leading first to nitrite and then to nitrate [1]. A second describes biological filtration as converting ammonia to nitrate, establishing the aquaponic setting for that sequence without identifying the nitrite-to-nitrate step as directly [3]. The trial contributes measured water-quality behaviour, including declining nitrite after the first month and measurements of nitrate [2]. Read together, these accounts permit a causal explanation alongside an example of system observations; they should not be treated as three independent demonstrations of the same mechanism.

The scope of nitrite oxidation begins once nitrite is available and ends with its conversion to nitrate by nitrite-oxidising bacteria [1]. Ammonia oxidation matters here because it supplies the preceding nitrite-forming step, not because it is another name for nitrate formation. Likewise, the broader statement that biological filtration converts ammonia to nitrate describes the sequence as a whole rather than assigning both conversions to one activity [3]. Keeping these levels separate prevents a compressed account of filtration from obscuring the specific cause of nitrate formation. It also prevents an observation of nitrate from being mistaken for a direct observation of nitrite-oxidising bacteria.

The remaining explanatory gap is not whether an aquaponic trial can record nitrite and nitrate; it can [2]. It is whether those recorded concentrations, without direct evidence of the intervening activity, establish how quickly or to what extent nitrite was oxidised. The bacterial account supplies the mechanism [1], while the filtration account supplies a system-level description [3]. Neither turns the reported trial measurements into a direct measurement of the nitrite-to-nitrate conversion. This paper therefore uses the trial to test the interpretation of the causal explanation, not to assign a conversion rate or make a claim about all aquaponic systems.

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

Nitrate formation has a defined place in the sequence reported for aquaponic nitrification. Ammonia-oxidising bacteria first convert total ammonium nitrogen to nitrite; nitrite-oxidising bacteria then convert nitrite to nitrate [1]. The second conversion is nitrite oxidation. Its importance is causal rather than merely terminological: nitrate is the stated product of a transformation of nitrite, not a second product attributed without distinction to the preceding ammonia-oxidising step. A description of biological filtration as converting ammonia to nitrate is consistent with this sequence [3], provided the description is not taken to mean that the intermediate nitrite-forming and nitrate-forming conversions are identical.

The sequence also clarifies why nitrite and nitrate measurements answer different questions. Nitrite is identified as the product of the first bacterial conversion and the material converted in the second; nitrate is identified as the product of that second conversion [1]. A trial that records both therefore observes compounds at different points in the described sequence [2]. Those measurements can be interpreted in light of the mechanism, but their relationship cannot be reduced to a single reading. In particular, finding little nitrite at a given time does not isolate nitrite oxidation from the preceding formation of nitrite or establish the amount converted during the period.

The available evidence supports the identity and order of the two conversions more firmly than it supports their behaviour under particular operating conditions. The review identifies nitrite-oxidising bacteria as responsible for conversion to nitrate [1]; the trial interprets low dissolved oxygen together with near-zero nitrate as indicative of less favourable nitrification conditions [2]. That interpretation gives a reason to consider system conditions when reading nitrate results, but it is not a separate demonstration of how the nitrite-oxidising step responds to a measured change in oxygen. The mechanism explains what forms nitrate; the trial illustrates a constraint on interpreting whether that formation is occurring favourably.

IV. Evidence Synthesis

The clearest support for the principal causal claim comes from the account that assigns nitrite-to-nitrate conversion to nitrite-oxidising bacteria [1]. The broader biological-filtration account describes ammonia-to-nitrate conversion in aquaponics and is compatible with, but less specific than, that assignment [3]. The trial records compounds relevant to the sequence rather than identifying the organisms responsible for either step [2]. These contributions are complementary only when their different evidential roles remain visible. Combining them supports an explanation of why nitrate can form after nitrite, but it does not convert an observed concentration pattern into direct evidence of microbial activity.

The trial provides a useful interpretive example because nitrite became low after its first month while nitrate was measured [2]. In the bacterial account, nitrite is both formed from ammonium nitrogen and converted to nitrate [1]. Consequently, a low measured nitrite concentration is consistent with more than one relationship between its formation and conversion. The reported pattern may be discussed in the context of nitrification, but it cannot on its own show how much nitrate arose from nitrite oxidation during a particular interval. The example illustrates why a mechanistic explanation and a set of water-quality measurements should be connected cautiously rather than treated as interchangeable evidence.

The trial's interpretation of low dissolved oxygen and near-zero nitrate as signs of less favourable nitrification conditions adds an important qualification [2]. The bacterial account identifies the conversion that forms nitrate [1], and the filtration account places ammonia-to-nitrate conversion within aquaponic water treatment [3]. Neither account means that nitrate must be observed at a particular concentration whenever nitrite-oxidising bacteria are implicated in the general mechanism. The trial links a measured condition to an interpretation of overall nitrification, not to a direct measurement of the nitrite-to-nitrate step. Its value is therefore contextual rather than a basis for specifying a universal concentration pattern.

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

A sound explanation of aquaponic nitrate formation should name nitrite oxidation rather than stop at the statement that filtration converts ammonia to nitrate. The filtration description is useful as an account of the overall outcome [3]; the distinction between the two bacterial conversions identifies the cause of the final step [1]. This sharper account helps readers interpret why nitrite and nitrate are separate measurements in the trial [2]. Its practical value is explanatory: it identifies which transformation a nitrate-formation claim concerns. It does not, without additional evidence, supply a rate, a predicted concentration or a test of how a particular system performs.

Concentration measurements should be used with corresponding restraint. The trial measured nitrate, reported that nitrite became low after the first month, and associated low dissolved oxygen and near-zero nitrate with less favourable nitrification conditions [2]. These observations can prompt questions about the sequence described by the bacterial review [1], but the measured concentrations do not directly identify the activity of nitrite-oxidising bacteria. A stronger system-specific inference would need evidence that distinguishes the conversion of nitrite to nitrate from observations of the compounds alone. The accepted sources do not provide such a demonstration for the trial, so the present explanation remains causal without becoming a quantified account of that system.

The boundaries of generalisation are equally important. The bacterial review supplies the specific explanation of nitrite oxidation [1], and the broader review places nitrate formation within aquaponic biological filtration [3]. The single reported trial offers an example of measured nitrite and nitrate and an interpretation of conditions associated with less favourable nitrification [2]. It cannot establish that every aquaponic system will show the same concentration pattern or that a near-zero nitrate reading has one explanation in every instance. Further evidence connecting measurements to the particular nitrite-to-nitrate conversion would strengthen interpretation; until then,

the mechanism and the observed pattern should be stated separately.

VI. Conclusion

Nitrite oxidation is the conversion of nitrite to nitrate attributed to nitrite-oxidising bacteria, following the conversion of ammonium nitrogen to nitrite by ammonia-oxidising bacteria [1]. This distinction gives scientific meaning to the broader description of biological filtration converting ammonia to nitrate in aquaponics [3]. The reported trial shows why the distinction matters when interpreting measured nitrite, nitrate and dissolved oxygen, but its observations do not directly demonstrate the nitrite-oxidising activity responsible for nitrate formation [2]. The strongest conclusion is therefore a specific causal explanation accompanied by a clear evidential limit: concentrations provide context for nitrification, whereas establishing the behaviour of the nitrate-forming step in a particular system requires evidence directed at that conversion.

Acknowledgment

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

References

[1] M. Krastanova, I. Sirakov, S. Ivanova-Kirilova, D. Yarkov, and P. Orozova, "Aquaponic systems: biological and technological parameters," *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*, 2022.

[2] C. Maucieri, C. Nicoletto, G. Zanin, M. Birolo, A. Trocino, P. Sambo, M. Borin, and G. Xiccato, "Effect of stocking density of fish on water quality and growth performance of european carp and leafy vegetables in a low-tech aquaponic system," *PLOS ONE*, 2019.

[3] V. Lobanov, H. Monsees, F. Latrille, G. Pate, and A. Joyce, "Coupled vs. decoupled aquaponics: Evidence, mechanisms, and methodological gaps in the productivity hypothesis," *Aquacultural Engineering*, 2026.