Oxygen demand of nitrifiers and heterotrophs

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Microbial nitrogen transformations create oxygen demand in aquaponic systems, but the demand attributable to nitrifiers and heterotrophs cannot be inferred directly from a dissolved-oxygen reading. This paper explains the oxygen requirement of ammonia oxidation and examines a carbohydrate-fed model of heterotrophic ammonia-nitrogen assimilation. In a biomass-inclusive nitrification model, oxidation of 1 g of ammonia-nitrogen consumes 4.18 g of oxygen. The modelled heterotrophic pathway consumes 4.71 g of oxygen and 15.17 g of carbohydrate per gram of ammonia-nitrogen assimilated. Feed-scale calculations likewise differ between defined pure-autotrophic and fully heterotrophic cases. Evidence from aquaponic units shows that dissolved oxygen varies by treatment and sampling position; a review indicates that high organic-matter loading can favour heterotrophs over nitrifiers. These findings distinguish three related matters: the oxygen required by a specified microbial pathway, the conditions that may influence which pathway contributes, and the oxygen concentration observed in water. Their combination supports a causal explanation of microbial oxygen demand, but not a measured allocation of total oxygen consumption between the two groups in an operating aquaponic system.**

I. Introduction

Oxygen demand of nitrifiers originates in the oxidation of ammonia-nitrogen. Ammonia-oxidising bacteria convert ammonia to nitrite, and nitrite-oxidising bacteria convert nitrite to nitrate; the microorganisms carrying out these steps require oxygen [1]. This gives oxygen a defined role in the nitrogen transformation rather than making it merely a water-quality measurement. To understand that role in an aquaponic system, however, it is necessary to distinguish the oxygen required for a specified quantity of transformed nitrogen from the dissolved oxygen present at a sampled location. A concentration measurement describes the latter. By itself, it cannot establish how much oxygen nitrifiers consumed.

Heterotrophs complicate that interpretation because a modelled carbohydrate-fed pathway for assimilating ammonia-nitrogen also consumes oxygen [1]. In the cited analysis, the calculated requirement is 4.18 g of oxygen per gram of ammonia-nitrogen nitrified in a biomass-inclusive model, compared with 4.71 g per gram assimilated in the specified heterotrophic case [1]. These are requirements for different processes under different assumptions, not rival measurements of one aquaponic unit. Their proximity makes careful labelling especially important: choosing a value without identifying its pathway could obscure which nitrogen transformation and associated inputs the calculation represents.

Observed oxygen conditions provide an additional, distinct line of evidence. In tested aquaponic units, dissolved oxygen differed significantly with treatment and sampling position; reported median concentrations were 7.8 mg L-1 at fish-tank outflows and 5.5 mg L-1 at vegetable-bed outflows [2]. Such observations motivate an account of microbial oxygen demand, but they do not isolate the share consumed by nitrifiers or heterotrophs. This paper explains the two modelled pathways, considers evidence on conditions that may alter their relative contributions, and interprets aquaponic oxygen observations within those limits. Its central distinction is between a pathway-specific oxygen requirement and the oxygen concentration that remains in water.

II. Background and Scope

Nitrification provides a clear starting point for defining oxygen demand because its nitrogen transformations are identified: ammonia is oxidised to nitrite and nitrite is oxidised to nitrate by oxygen-requiring microorganisms [1]. A biomass-inclusive calculation assigns 4.18 g of oxygen consumption to nitrification of 1 g of ammonia-nitrogen [1]. This expresses oxygen mass relative to nitrogen transformed, not an oxygen-consumption rate or a required dissolved-oxygen concentration. The distinction limits what the figure can explain. It describes a defined pathway under the model assumptions, while the amount of nitrification occurring in any particular aquaponic unit must be established separately before a pathway requirement could be related to that unit.

The accounting boundary matters even when the discussion is restricted to nitrification. Ebeling, Timmons and Bisogni contrast their biomass-inclusive figure of 4.18 g of oxygen per gram of ammonia-nitrogen with a conventional value of 4.57 g. They attribute the difference to nitrogen incorporated into microbial biomass in their model [1]. Thus, the two figures should not be presented as conflicting field measurements. They answer calculations framed with different treatment of nitrogen. Their comparison illustrates why oxygen demand should be reported with its assumed fate of nitrogen, rather than as an unqualified constant applicable to every operating condition.

Heterotrophic assimilation supplies another modelled route for ammonia-nitrogen, but its reported requirement has an explicit material condition. Assimilation of 1 g of ammonia-nitrogen in the cited carbohydrate-fed model consumes a calculated 15.17 g of carbohydrate and 4.71 g of oxygen [1]. This is not a general measurement of oxygen demand for all heterotrophs. It describes one specified pathway and its inputs. The gap between these calculations and an operating

aquaponic system is therefore not simply a missing dissolved-oxygen number: the relative contributions of nitrification and heterotrophic assimilation would also need to be identified. The paper confines its comparison to these supported pathways rather than assigning either model to an unmeasured microbial community.

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

The nitrifying and heterotrophic calculations can be compared at a defined feed scale, provided their different pathway assumptions remain visible. For 1 kg of feed containing 35% protein and yielding an estimated 50.4 g of nitrogen, the cited pure-autotrophic case consumes a calculated 210.7 g of oxygen [1]. Its value depends on that feed and nitrogen estimate as well as the modelled route of nitrogen removal. Consequently, it should not be read as a direct observation of total oxygen use in an aquaponic unit receiving feed. Its explanatory contribution is to connect an assumed nitrogen input to the oxygen requirement of a specified microbial pathway.

For the cited fully heterotrophic feed-scale case, calculated oxygen consumption is 237.4 g [1]. That exceeds the 210.7 g calculated for the pure-autotrophic case under the paper's stated feed-scale comparison [1]. The comparison does not establish that a mixed aquaponic community necessarily approaches either endpoint, nor does it establish which group consumes more oxygen in a particular unit. The underlying processes differ: the heterotrophic assimilation calculation explicitly includes carbohydrate use, while the nitrification calculation concerns oxidation of ammonia-nitrogen [1]. What can be concluded is narrower and more useful: assumptions about how nitrogen is transformed materially affect the modelled oxygen requirement.

Organic-matter conditions provide a plausible connection between these pathway calculations and microbial balance. A review reports that high organic-matter loading can favour heterotrophs over slower-growing nitrifiers and cites competition at carbon-to-nitrogen ratios above 10 as a possible constraint on nitrification [3]. This does not quantify oxygen consumption by either population. Rather, it indicates why the pure-pathway calculations may be insufficient to characterise a community exposed to differing organic inputs. The ratio described in the review is a condition associated with possible competition, not a universal cutoff. It helps frame the causal question: which oxygen-consuming nitrogen pathway is contributing under the conditions being considered?

IV. Evidence Synthesis

The available evidence addresses oxygen demand at different levels. Stoichiometric analysis specifies calculated oxygen use for nitrification and a carbohydrate-fed heterotrophic-assimilation pathway [1]. Aquaponic observations describe dissolved oxygen at sampling points and show significant differences across treatments and positions [2]. Review evidence identifies organic-matter-related competition that may influence the balance between heterotrophs and nitrifiers [3]. Together, these findings support a causal account in which both the microbial pathway and the conditions surrounding it matter. They do not produce a measured partition of oxygen use between the groups. Treating the three kinds of evidence as complementary, rather than interchangeable, preserves what each can establish.

The reported aquaponic observations illustrate why oxygen availability matters to interpretation without directly measuring microbial demand. Vegetable-bed outflow dissolved oxygen stayed above 2 mg L-1 in the lower-fish-density treatment but sometimes fell below 2 mg L-1 at higher fish density. Considering the latter values alongside near-zero nitrate, the investigators interpreted conditions as less favourable for nitrification [2]. That interpretation is relevant because nitrifiers require oxygen to carry out the successive oxidation steps [1]. Nevertheless, neither the oxygen concentration nor the nitrate observation quantifies oxygen consumed by nitrifiers. The reported 2 mg L-1 contrast belongs to those tested units and should not be converted into a universal nitrification threshold.

The distinction between measured concentration and calculated demand also prevents an unwarranted attribution to heterotrophs. Organic loading can favour heterotrophs over nitrifiers under conditions described in the review [3], and the specified heterotrophic-assimilation model includes oxygen consumption [1]. It does not follow that heterotrophs caused the lower oxygen concentrations observed at particular aquaponic outflows [2]. Neither the review-level competition statement nor the pure-pathway calculation measures that contribution in those units. The defensible synthesis is that microbial competition may affect which oxygen-demanding processes contribute, while observations of dissolved oxygen establish conditions in water, not the allocation of oxygen consumption among organisms.

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

An oxygen-demand value is interpretable only when its basis is retained. The biomass-inclusive nitrification value of 4.18 g of oxygen per gram of ammonia-nitrogen differs from the conventional 4.57 g value because of the model's treatment of nitrogen incorporated into biomass [1]. Likewise, the 4.71 g figure for heterotrophic assimilation is conditional on a pathway that consumes 15.17 g of carbohydrate per gram of ammonia-nitrogen assimilated [1]. Omitting these conditions would make modelled mass requirements appear to be universal measurements. The practical interpretive rule is therefore to identify the pathway, nitrogen basis and material assumptions whenever either value is used to explain microbial oxygen demand.

Dissolved-oxygen concentrations should be interpreted as observations of system conditions at specified positions. The tested units showed different median concentrations at fish-tank and vegetable-bed outflows, as well as differences by treatment [2]. Their higher-fish-density treatment also included vegetable-bed outflow values below 2 mg L-1 on some occasions, which the investigators considered alongside near-zero nitrate when discussing less favourable nitrification conditions

[2]. These findings support attention to where oxygen is observed, but they do not justify substituting a concentration for a mass requirement. In particular, a low reading cannot independently identify whether nitrifiers, heterotrophs or an unpartitioned combination accounted for the oxygen used.

The chief limit on generalisation is that the feed-scale calculations describe pure-autotrophic and fully heterotrophic cases, while the review allows for competition between microbial groups under organic loading [1], [3]. The calculated 210.7 g and 237.4 g oxygen demands are therefore conditional examples, not measured endpoints for every aquaponic unit [1]. Nor can the reported outflow concentrations be used to interpolate between them [2]. A stronger assessment of oxygen demand in an operating unit would need evidence that relates oxygen consumption to the nitrogen transformations actually occurring there. Until such a relationship is established, pathway models and concentration observations should be presented together but interpreted separately.

VI. Conclusion

Oxygen demand of nitrifiers and heterotrophs is best understood as a property of specified microbial transformations, not as a quantity revealed by a single dissolved-oxygen reading. Nitrifiers require oxygen for the successive oxidation of ammonia to nitrite and nitrite to nitrate; a biomass-inclusive model quantifies the requirement for its stated nitrogen basis [1]. A carbohydrate-fed heterotrophic-assimilation model also calculates oxygen consumption, subject to a different set of inputs [1]. Evidence that organic loading may favour heterotrophs shows why choosing one pure pathway as a description of an operating community requires caution [3].

Aquaponic measurements demonstrate that dissolved-oxygen conditions vary across treatments and sampling positions, including conditions the investigators regarded as less favourable for nitrification [2]. They do not measure the separate oxygen demands of nitrifiers and heterotrophs. The central implication is to retain the distinction between modelled oxygen requirements, possible shifts in microbial balance and observed oxygen availability. Establishing how those elements combine in a particular system would require evidence identifying the nitrogen transformations and their associated oxygen consumption under the same conditions [1], [2].

Acknowledgment

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

References

[1] J. M. Ebeling, M. B. Timmons, and J. J. Bisogni, "Engineering analysis of the stoichiometry of photoautotrophic, autotrophic, and heterotrophic removal of ammonia-nitrogen in aquaculture systems," *Aquaculture*, 2006. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2006.03.019

[2] C. Maucieri, C. Nicoletto, G. Zanin, M. Birolo, A. Trocino, P. Sambo, M. Borin, and G. Xiccato, "Effect of stocking density of fish on water quality and growth performance of european carp and leafy vegetables in a low-tech aquaponic system," *PLOS ONE*, 2019.

[3] V. Lobanov, H. Monsees, F. Latrille, G. Pate, and A. Joyce, "Coupled vs. decoupled aquaponics: Evidence, mechanisms, and methodological gaps in the productivity hypothesis," *Aquacultural Engineering*, 2026.