Sludge decomposition, particle size and anaerobic risk

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Accumulated fish waste can undergo measurable organic matter reduction while also developing local conditions associated with undesirable decomposition products. These outcomes should not be treated as interchangeable measures of successful solids management. This paper synthesises two studies of recirculating aquaculture waste to explain the relationship between sludge decomposition and anaerobic risk. A comparison of aerobic and anaerobic sludge treatment reports changes in total solids, organic matter and volatile fatty acids, showing that decomposition must be interpreted through more than one response measure. Measurements in settled fish-waste layers show oxygen depletion and hydrogen sulphide production, with increased sulphide observed following mixing. Together, the studies support a causal account in which the conditions experienced by retained organic waste matter to both its transformation and the products associated with oxygen-depleted layers. Neither study establishes how particle size changes these processes. Particle size therefore remains an explicit evidence gap rather than an established predictor of anaerobic risk. The synthesis distinguishes treatment-reactor observations from settled-layer observations and identifies the measurements needed to test whether particle size modifies oxygen conditions, decomposition and sulphide formation in comparable waste systems.**

I. Introduction

Sludge decomposition is not a single outcome. In a study of recirculating aquaculture system sludge, aerobic and anaerobic treatments were compared using measures that included total solids, organic matter, sludge composition and volatile fatty acid accumulation [1]. Those measures describe related but distinct aspects of change: a reduction in solids does not, by itself, specify which constituents remain or which intermediate products have accumulated. This distinction matters when interpreting retained fish waste, because the conditions under which organic matter changes may affect the meaning of an observed reduction. A causal account of decomposition must therefore consider both the material being transformed and the measured products of that transformation.

Anaerobic risk becomes more concrete when the oxygen conditions inside accumulated waste are measured rather than inferred from its presence alone. In settled layers of fish organic waste, investigators observed oxygen depletion and hydrogen sulphide production; they also reported increased sulphide following mixing [2]. These observations connect a physical arrangement of retained waste with measurable chemical conditions, but they do not establish that every accumulation behaves identically. They also leave a prominent question unanswered: the study did not test whether particle size changed oxygen depletion or sulphide production. Treating particle size as a proven cause of anaerobic risk would therefore extend the evidence beyond what was measured.

This paper explains sludge decomposition and anaerobic risk through the complementary, but non-identical, evidence of treatment comparisons and settled-waste measurements. The treatment study identifies changes in sludge properties and products under aerobic and anaerobic conditions, whereas the settled-layer study identifies oxygen depletion and sulphide production within accumulated waste [1], [2]. The central argument is that decomposition outcomes and anaerobic conditions must be interpreted together, without assuming that results from a reactor automatically describe a settled layer. Particle size is considered throughout as a requested explanatory variable for which these sources provide no direct test. The discussion first establishes the scope of the evidence, then examines mechanisms, compares the observations and identifies the remaining validation needs.

II. Background and Scope

The two studies approach fish-waste decomposition at different scales of observation. A sludge-treatment comparison evaluates changes in total solids and organic matter alongside composition and volatile fatty acids, allowing the effects observed under aerobic and anaerobic treatment to be considered together [1]. Measurements in settled waste instead focus on conditions within accumulated layers, particularly oxygen depletion and hydrogen sulphide production [2]. The first approach describes how treated sludge changes; the second reveals an anaerobic concern that a bulk solids measure alone would not resolve. Their results are complementary because neither a treatment outcome nor a local oxygen measurement provides a complete description of retained waste.

A useful boundary is the distinction between anaerobic treatment and unintended oxygen depletion in a settled layer. The aerobic and anaerobic comparison concerns sludge processed under specified treatment conditions and reports both material reduction and volatile fatty acid accumulation [1]. The settled-layer observations concern fish waste in which oxygen depletion and sulphide production were measured directly [2]. Calling both situations anaerobic does not make their outcomes equivalent. Their configurations, observations and reported responses differ, so the studies support an explanation of possible processes rather than a universal prediction for every location where solids accumulate.

Particle size sits at the boundary of this evidence. Neither the reported treatment comparison nor the settled-layer study establishes a measured relationship between particle size and decomposition products, oxygen depletion or hydrogen sulphide production [1], [2]. Accordingly, this paper does not rank fine and coarse particles by anaerobic risk or assign either a particular decomposition rate. The unresolved question is narrower and testable: whether differences in particle size alter the conditions and responses already observed in retained fish waste. Keeping that question separate from demonstrated findings prevents an appealing physical explanation from being mistaken for an empirical result.

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

Sludge decomposition can be understood here as a change in retained organic material that requires more than one indicator to describe. In the treatment comparison, total-solids and organic-matter reductions were measured together with sludge composition and volatile fatty acid accumulation [1]. A decline in bulk material and an accumulation of an intermediate product can therefore form part of the same observed treatment response. This is important for causal interpretation: reporting that sludge has decreased says less about the state of its remaining organic matter than reporting the relevant measurements together. The study supports that distinction, although its particular treatment responses should not be presented as inevitable outcomes in other waste arrangements.

The anaerobic-risk mechanism is most directly illustrated by measurements inside settled waste. Oxygen depletion was observed in fish organic-waste layers in which hydrogen sulphide was also produced [2]. The paired observations support concern that retained waste can contain oxygen-depleted conditions associated with sulphide production. They do not, however, show that a particular solids-reduction result predicts a particular sulphide concentration. The treatment comparison adds evidence that aerobic and anaerobic conditions can be accompanied by differing sludge responses, including volatile fatty acid accumulation [1]. Read together, the studies indicate why decomposition and anaerobic risk should be assessed through their respective measured responses rather than collapsed into one label.

Mixing complicates any simple interpretation of the chemical state of a settled layer. The observed increase in sulphide following mixing shows that a measurement made after disturbance may differ from one made before it [2]. That observation is relevant to interpreting exposure of accumulated waste and to comparing samples, but it does not establish that mixing universally increases the rate at which sulphide is produced. Nor can the result be assigned to particle size, which was not tested as an explanatory factor in that study [2]. The defensible mechanism is therefore bounded: settled waste can become oxygen depleted and produce sulphide, while the observed response to disturbance needs interpretation within the conditions actually studied.

IV. Evidence Synthesis

The strongest shared lesson of the two studies is that the state of fish waste cannot be reduced to a single measure of how much sludge remains. Aerobic and anaerobic sludge treatment was assessed through solids, organic matter, composition and volatile fatty acids [1]; settled-waste measurements established oxygen depletion and hydrogen sulphide production [2]. These are different observations, but together they show why a material balance and a description of local chemical conditions answer different questions. The former helps characterise decomposition under the reported treatment conditions. The latter identifies an oxygen-depleted environment and a measured product within accumulated waste. Neither result substitutes for the other.

The studies also differ in what they permit as a causal claim. A direct comparison of aerobic and anaerobic treatment supports discussion of how the reported sludge responses vary with treatment context, while the study's stated limitations caution against making those differences universal [1]. Direct measurement of oxygen depletion and sulphide in settled layers supports a more local account of anaerobic risk, including an observed change after mixing [2]. Combining these findings supports the proposition that conditions matter to interpretation of decomposition, not a claim that the two studies establish one continuous sequence from a specified treatment response to sulphide production in every accumulation.

Particle size cannot close the explanatory gap between the studies without additional measurements. It may be tempting to use the settled-layer observations to explain why one particle fraction would pose more risk than another, or to use reactor solids reduction to predict the behaviour of such fractions. Neither inference was tested in the accepted evidence [1], [2]. The useful synthesis is consequently conditional: if particle size affects the conditions experienced by retained waste, its effect must be demonstrated by comparing particle classes while measuring relevant decomposition responses and local oxygen and sulphide conditions. Until then, particle size is a candidate variable for investigation, not a measured result of these studies.

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

Interpretation of sludge change should retain the distinction between reduction and product formation. The treatment study measured reductions in total solids and organic matter as well as sludge composition and volatile fatty acid accumulation under its compared conditions [1]. These observations support examining multiple indicators when judging what decomposition has accomplished, rather than describing a decrease in retained material as a complete account of its transformation. The implication is interpretive, not a prescribed treatment choice: the reported reactor differences depend on the study conditions and cannot be assumed to hold for all retained fish waste. Applying its conclusions elsewhere would require measurements appropriate to the new conditions.

The settled-layer evidence makes local oxygen and sulphide measurements important to any claim about anaerobic risk.

Oxygen depletion and hydrogen sulphide production were directly observed, and sulphide increased following mixing in the investigated waste layers [2]. A solids measurement alone would not reproduce those observations. Equally, the post-mixing result should not be converted into a general claim that disturbance always worsens anaerobic conditions or that a specific operating response follows from this study. Its narrower implication is that the state of accumulated waste and the circumstances of measurement both matter when interpreting sulphide observations.

The principal limitation for the present topic is the absence of a direct particle-size comparison. The available studies address treatment responses and settled-layer conditions, but they do not establish whether particle size changes decomposition, oxygen depletion or sulphide production [1], [2]. A targeted comparison would need to identify the particle sizes being compared and measure the same relevant responses under conditions that permit an interpretable comparison. It would also need to distinguish changes attributable to particle size from differences in how waste is retained or treated. Without that evidence, neither a particle-size threshold nor a direction of effect can be justified for anaerobic risk.

VI. Conclusion

Sludge decomposition and anaerobic risk are related questions, but the accepted evidence measures them in different ways. Aerobic and anaerobic sludge treatment produced reported changes in solids, organic matter, composition and volatile fatty acids [1]. Settled fish-waste layers exhibited oxygen depletion and hydrogen sulphide production, with increased sulphide observed after mixing [2]. Together, these findings support an explanation that attends to both the transformation of organic material and the local conditions in accumulated waste. They do not establish that a particular reduction in sludge predicts sulphide production, or that results from the studied arrangements apply unchanged elsewhere.

Particle size remains the decisive unresolved part of the proposed causal account. Neither study directly tests its effect on decomposition or anaerobic conditions [1], [2]. The highest-value next step is a comparison that measures particle size alongside organic-matter change, oxygen conditions and sulphide response under clearly specified waste conditions. Until such evidence is available, an account of sludge decomposition can identify measured anaerobic risks, but should present any particle-size explanation as a question rather than a finding.

Acknowledgment

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

References

[1] S. Goddek, B. P. L. Delaide, A. Joyce, S. Wuertz, M. H. Jijakli, A. Gross, E. H. Eding, I. Bläser, M. Reuter, L. C. P. Keizer, R. Morgenstern, O. Körner, J. Verreth, and K. J. Keesman, "Nutrient mineralization and organic matter reduction performance of ras-based sludge in sequential uasb-egsb reactors," *Aquacultural Engineering*, 2018.

[2] A. M. Nguyen-tiêt, C. O. Letelier-Gordo, and S. L. Aalto, "Rapid h2s production in layers of freshwater and marine fish organic waste from recirculating aquaculture systems," *Aquacultural Engineering*, 2025.