Solids loading, cleaning and biological stability

Grant Kinkead
Independent Researcher, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
grant@kinkead.au

***Abstract*—Solids loading matters in aquaponics because retained organic material can alter the conditions in which biological treatment occurs. This paper examines three connected forms of evidence: experimental observations of oxygen depletion and hydrogen-sulfide production in settled fish-waste layers, a review describing potential competition between heterotrophs and nitrifiers under high organic-matter loading, and an aquaponic system in which accumulated solids and recurrent pH decline were addressed by washing a pumice bed. Together, these sources support a causal account in which the amount and location of retained solids influence biological stability, while cleaning changes those conditions rather than merely improving appearance. The evidence is not interchangeable: the waste-layer experiments were conducted in recirculating aquaculture systems, the nitrification account is drawn from a review, and the cleaning observation comes from a particular aquaponic design. Consequently, none supplies a universal solids threshold or cleaning schedule. The defensible implication is to treat solids accumulation and cleaning as interacting aspects of biological management, and to test their effects under the conditions of the system concerned.**

I. Introduction

Solids loading is more than the quantity of visible waste in an aquaponic system. Its biological significance depends partly on whether organic material remains in layers where local conditions can diverge from those of the surrounding water. In experiments on settled fish waste from recirculating aquaculture systems, oxygen was depleted within the tested layers before hydrogen sulfide was produced; mixing subsequently released sulfide that had accumulated there [1]. These observations establish a credible reason to consider the condition of retained solids, not simply their presence. They do not, however, demonstrate that every aquaponic solids deposit behaves identically, because the observed sequence was specific to the layers and conditions tested.

Solids can also matter without forming the particular waste layers examined in those experiments. An aquaponics review describes how high organic-matter loading may favour heterotrophs relative to slower-growing nitrifiers, potentially constraining nitrification [2]. This is a distinct biological-stability concern: retained organic matter may affect the organisms contributing to treatment even where sulfide production has not been shown. The review does not establish a universal loading limit, so the implication is a conditional one. Loading should be understood in relation to the biological processes it may influence, rather than treated as a single value that predicts the same outcome across designs.

Cleaning makes the relationship between solids and stability especially concrete. In one aquaponic study, solids accumulated in a pumice bed alongside recurrent severe pH decline. Washing mitigated the decline, whereas adding organisms did not sufficiently restore balance; subsequent designs incorporated improved solids removal [3]. The observation connects an intervention on retained material with a change in system behaviour, although it cannot by itself identify every cause of the pH response. This paper first defines the relevant scope, then explains the supported mechanisms, compares the evidence and considers what the findings can, and cannot, imply for cleaning and biological stability.

II. Background and Scope

The available evidence addresses different parts of the solids problem rather than offering three measurements of the same effect. The aquaponics review provides a biological account of why organic-matter loading could affect nitrification [2]. The pumice-bed study connects accumulated solids, washing and an observed pH disturbance within an operating aquaponic system [3]. The fish-waste experiments resolve a local sequence of oxygen depletion and sulfide production in settled material, but were performed in recirculating aquaculture settings rather than the pumice-bed system [1]. Reading these contributions together is useful only if their differing conditions remain visible; none independently establishes a complete, general operating rule for aquaponics.

Solids loading here means the organic material retained in places where biological treatment and waste accumulation can interact. That definition is deliberately relational: the review concerns the potential effect of high organic-matter loading on microbial competition, while the pumice-bed study concerns material actually retained in a bed [2], [3]. The waste-layer experiments add evidence that settled organic material can develop local conditions with consequences when disturbed [1]. These are related but not equivalent observations. A claim about microbial competition cannot be used as proof that a particular bed produced sulfide, just as the waste-layer result cannot establish that sulfide caused the reported pH decline.

The comparison also clarifies the gap this paper addresses. Removing or washing retained solids may change the conditions associated with instability, as the pumice-bed observation suggests, but the available sources do not specify a generally effective cleaning frequency or a transferable loading threshold [2], [3]. Conversely, leaving material undisturbed cannot be assumed benign simply because a bulk-water condition appears acceptable: the tested waste layers developed oxygen depletion and accumulated sulfide locally [1]. The appropriate scope is therefore a causal explanation of how retention and cleaning

can affect biological conditions, with explicit limits on what can be predicted for an untested system.

III. Core Concepts and Mechanisms

Retention provides the first link between solids loading and biological stability. In the aquaponic study, solids collected in a pumice bed at the same time that severe pH declines repeatedly occurred, and washing the bed mitigated those declines [3]. The observation supports treating the retained material as relevant to the system's behaviour, rather than assuming that adding organisms alone will compensate for accumulation. It does not prove a complete chemical pathway from the solids to the pH change. The defensible mechanism-level interpretation is narrower: where a bed retains substantial organic material, cleaning can alter the local conditions associated with an observed instability.

A second link is the development of conditions inside settled waste. In the recirculating aquaculture experiments, oxygen depletion preceded hydrogen-sulfide production in fish-waste layers, and mixing released accumulated sulfide [1]. The sequence matters because disturbance did not merely remove or redistribute an inert deposit; it changed the exposure of the surrounding water to material produced within that deposit. It also complicates a simple preference for either cleaning or non-intervention. The experiments justify attention to the condition of settled solids and the consequences of disturbing them, but they do not establish the magnitude or likelihood of those consequences in every aquaponic bed.

The third link concerns competition among microbial groups. The aquaponics review indicates that high organic-matter loading can favour heterotrophs over slower-growing nitrifiers and thereby potentially restrict nitrification [2]. This account explains why solids management may affect biological treatment even when no waste-layer sulfide event is observed. It remains a potential mechanism, not evidence that nitrification failed in the pumice-bed study or in the fish-waste experiments. Taken with the washing observation and the waste-layer sequence, it shows that biological stability has several relevant dimensions: an observed system response, local conditions in settled material and the possible response of nitrifying organisms [1], [3].

IV. Evidence Synthesis

Across the three sources, the common feature is retained organic material, but the observed responses differ. The waste-layer experiments document oxygen depletion, sulfide formation and release on mixing [1]. The review identifies a plausible constraint on nitrification when organic-matter loading favours heterotrophs [2]. The aquaponic study reports a pH problem associated with solids in a pumice bed that was mitigated through washing [3]. These results should not be collapsed into one claimed chain in which every retained deposit necessarily causes all three outcomes. Their combined value is to show several ways in which the condition and handling of solids can become biologically consequential.

The evidence also differs in what it can say about cleaning. Washing was an observed response to an unstable pumice-bed system, and the reported improvement supports the relevance of solids handling in that design [3]. Mixing the experimental waste layers, by contrast, released accumulated sulfide, showing that disturbing retained material can have an immediate consequence under the tested conditions [1]. The two observations are not contradictory: they concern different materials, interventions and measured outcomes. They instead caution against describing cleaning as a uniformly beneficial event without considering what has accumulated and how disturbance changes contact between deposits and water.

The review supplies a further constraint on interpretation. Its account of competition between heterotrophs and nitrifiers makes it reasonable to examine organic loading when nitrification is in question, but does not demonstrate that every observed loss of balance has that cause [2]. Likewise, the unsuccessful addition of organisms in the pumice-bed study does not prove that such an intervention can never work; in that setting, washing addressed the observed problem more effectively [3]. The waste-layer experiments establish a tested sequence, not its prevalence across aquaponics [1]. This distinction between demonstrated outcomes and plausible mechanisms is essential to a sound account of biological stability.

V. Practical Implications and Limitations

A practical interpretation is to treat accumulated solids as a condition to be assessed in relation to biological performance, rather than as a purely visual cleanliness issue. The pumice-bed experience supports examining retained material when recurrent pH decline accompanies accumulation, because washing mitigated the reported disturbance [3]. The review supports considering organic-matter loading when evaluating potential constraints on nitrification [2]. Neither source shows that the same cleaning response will resolve every form of instability. A defensible decision must therefore remain tied to the material retained, the part of the system in which it accumulates and the particular response being observed.

Cleaning also has a limitation that cannot be dismissed as a minor procedural detail. In the waste-layer experiments, mixing released sulfide produced after local oxygen depletion [1]. That result is a reason to distinguish the longer-term purpose of addressing accumulation from the immediate effects of disturbing a particular deposit. It is not evidence that routine cleaning of every aquaponic component releases sulfide, nor does it specify a cleaning technique. Together with the pumice-bed washing result, it indicates that the consequences of disturbance require evaluation in the conditions where cleaning is contemplated, rather than inference from the word cleaning alone [3].

The principal evidence gap is the absence of a common test that links solids loading, a defined cleaning intervention and multiple measures of biological stability across comparable aquaponic conditions. The available experiments characterise

settled fish waste under their tested conditions; the review describes a possible nitrification constraint; and the pumice-bed study documents a context-specific intervention and response [1], [2], [3]. Further validation would need to distinguish what changes within retained material from what changes in the wider system, and to compare conditions before and after a clearly described intervention. Until then, neither a universal loading limit nor a universal cleaning schedule follows from this evidence.

VI. Conclusion

Solids loading, cleaning and biological stability are connected through the conditions created by retained organic material and the changes caused when that material is disturbed or removed. The tested fish-waste layers demonstrate a sequence from oxygen depletion to sulfide production and release on mixing [1]. The aquaponics review identifies a potential nitrification constraint under high organic-matter loading [2]. The pumice-bed study shows that washing accumulated solids mitigated a recurrent pH disturbance in one system [3]. Together, these findings warrant attention to retained solids, but they do not justify treating any single response as inevitable.

The strongest next step is to test the relationship between defined solids conditions, specified cleaning interventions and observed biological responses in comparable aquaponic systems. That need follows from the differing settings and outcomes of the existing evidence, not from an assumed universal failure mechanism [1], [2], [3]. Until such comparisons are available, cleaning is best understood as a potentially important change to biological conditions whose effect must be interpreted in its own context.

Acknowledgment

The author used artificial intelligence tools to assist with manuscript drafting and revision, and with LaTeX and bibliography preparation. The author reviewed all AI-assisted output and remains responsible for the final content.

References

[1] A. M. Nguyen-tiêt, C. O. Letelier-Gordo, and S. L. Aalto, "Rapid h2s production in layers of freshwater and marine fish organic waste from recirculating aquaculture systems," *Aquacultural Engineering*, 2025.

[2] V. Lobanov, H. Monsees, F. Latrille, G. Pate, and A. Joyce, "Coupled vs. decoupled aquaponics: Evidence, mechanisms, and methodological gaps in the productivity hypothesis," *Aquacultural Engineering*, 2026.

[3] R. I. Danner, U. Mankasingh, K. Anamthawat-Jonsson, and R. I. Thorarinsdottir, "Designing aquaponic production systems towards integration into greenhouse farming," *Water*, 2019.